

PRESIDENT: Alright, is there an objection? There is none. Now what is next Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, he offers a 19 section amendment to the bill, computer print out 2611. We have no indication that this has ever been printed. Oh, this is the contents of LB535, is what it would be, we didn't print it because it is in your bill book as 535.

PRESIDENT: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: The amendments are basically 535. We have asked to put them on this bill for very simple reasons. We need too, if they both deal with the same subject matter, they are germane, this bill that we are putting on here came out of the Labor Committee, 60, it is an important bill that we have to pass this year to solve some problems that were not...that we had not anticipated....that a recent court case came through and basically all the parties have agreed that this is a solution to a problem. It should not be controversial. In fact I have it on good notice that there will be a number of people talking in favor of this proposal, maybe even Senator Lamb, if I can get Senator Lamb to talk. It shouldn't be too controversial and that is all I have to say.

PRESIDENT: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President, I would like to ask Senator Newell if he has talked with the Director of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission relating to this.

SENATOR NEWELL: I have.

SENATOR MARSH: Is he in favor of adding this? I think that your committee feels that it is very important that it be moved this year.

SENATOR NEWELL: Right, he is not opposed to it at all. He feels that 162, this is no problem with him. If they want to put it on they put it on. He only asked me that if you don't put it on this bill, that I not hold 162 another day. I promised him that if I can't get it on to the bill I will not hold up 162.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you very much.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: This action Mr. President, I guess took place one afternoon when I was gone I guess, Senator Nichol was talking to Dave on this issue. Right at this point I don't find that there is too much conflict with the bill and with what he is trying to do, and I understand that Jerry Chizek with the Department of Labor needs this to be passed this year. Now, I can't say that that view is shared by everybody on our committee or everybody that has an interest in the bill. I did tell Senator Newell that if he could get this amendment added without any conflicts and if nobody had any objection to the amendments that we would go ahead and put it on the bill if that is what the body desired. With that I would like to say that I myself can find no problem with it at this time. Until it is discussed by the body, we will have to make a decision at that time. I think that